

## ROBERT E. DEMEUSY

ASSOCIATE



### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Asbestos & Mass Tort Litigation  
Environmental & Toxic Tort Litigation  
Product Liability  
New York Construction & Labor Law  
School Leaders' Liability  
General Liability

### CONTACT INFO

(631) 227-6332  
[REDemeusy@mdwvcg.com](mailto:REDemeusy@mdwvcg.com)

175 Pinelawn Road  
Suite 250  
Melville, NY 11747

### ADMISSIONS

New York  
2016

U.S. District Court Eastern District  
of New York

U.S. District Court Southern  
District of New York

### EDUCATION

Fordham University School of Law  
(J.D., 2015)

University of Miami (B.S.B.A.,  
2012)

### HONORS & AWARDS

The Best Lawyers: Ones to  
Watch®, Mass Tort  
Litigation/Class Actions –  
Defendants; Product Liability  
Litigation – Defendants  
2024-2025

New York Metro Super Lawyers  
Rising Star  
2020-2023

### OVERVIEW

Robert is a member of the Casualty Department where he focuses his practice on representing clients in the areas of product liability, labor law, education law and toxic tort. Robert manages a voluminous caseload from inception through resolution by handling all aspects of case management including discovery, witness depositions, retention of experts and investigators, motion practice, settlement negotiation and trial, providing constant communication directly to clients and insurers.

Prior to joining Marshall Dennehey, Robert worked for two national litigation firms, specializing in product liability and toxic tort defense.

Robert earned his *juris doctor* from Fordham University School of Law in 2015. At Fordham, he was a member of the Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law and was also a member of the Fordham Sports Law Forum. While attending law school, he gained valuable experience working as an intern at the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office and at a civil litigation firm. In 2012, Robert earned his Bachelor of Business Administration from the University of Miami, with concentrations in Legal Studies and Sports Administration. He is a member of the American Bar Association, New York Bar Association and licensed to practice law in the State of New York.

### THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

#### Marshall Dennehey Announces 2023 New York Metro Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

September 22, 2023

Seven attorneys from Marshall Dennehey's New York City, Westchester County, and Long Island offices have been selected to the 2023 edition of New York Metro Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

#### Marshall Dennehey Announces 2022 New York Metro Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

October 3, 2022

Six attorneys from Marshall Dennehey's New York City, Westchester County, and Long Island offices have been selected to the 2022 edition of New York Metro Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

---

## ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

American Bar Association

New York Bar Association

---

## YEAR JOINED

2021

## RESULTS

After nine-week trial, unanimous defense verdict in asbestos case where \$40 million in damages had been sought.

### **Asbestos & Mass Tort Litigation March 23, 2022**

We obtained a unanimous defense verdict after a nine-week trial in Suffolk County, New York, where the plaintiff's counsel requested that the jury award \$40 million in damages. The plaintiff was 51 years old when she was diagnosed with peritoneal mesothelioma, allegedly as a result of being exposed to asbestos-containing joint compound manufactured and sold by our client. The plaintiff, who was 56 at the time of trial, testified that she had little or no knowledge of ever being exposed to asbestos.

### **SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

Obtained a unanimous defense verdict after a nine week trial in Suffolk County, New York, where the plaintiff's counsel requested that the jury award \$40 million in damages. The plaintiff was 51 years old when she was diagnosed with peritoneal mesothelioma allegedly as a result of being exposed to asbestos containing joint compound manufactured and sold by our client. Plaintiff who was 56 at the time of trial, testified that she had little or no knowledge of ever being exposed to asbestos. However, her older sister, who served as the only product identification witness at trial, testified that she recalled that their father used asbestos containing joint compound on two occasions, approximately fifty years ago when he repaired their home after a fire in 1970 and when he built a home in Florida around 1975. Plaintiff was five and ten years old respectively during the alleged exposures and plaintiff's sister was seven years older. Plaintiff's sister testified that she had a vivid memory of her father using six different joint compounds during the two projects, including our client's product. She also testified that the plaintiff was present hundreds of times when their father mixed, applied and sanded the joint compound. At trial, we called an industrial hygienist, a toxicologist and an epidemiologist who testified that the type of asbestos fiber used in our client's joint compound did not cause or contribute to her mesothelioma, because the fibers are too short and do not cause disease. Our epidemiologist testified that plaintiff's mesothelioma developed spontaneously and was not the result of asbestos exposure. We also called a construction expert, who testified that the sister's testimony regarding the amount of joint compound used and the time the sister was exposed were excessive. The jury deliberated an hour before returning the verdict. Post-trial comments from jurors indicated they did not find the sister to be credible.